



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK
JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
www.sfcjpa.org

East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, Palo Alto, San Mateo County Flood Control District, and the Santa Clara Valley Water District

**Notice of Regular Meeting of the
SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY BOARD
City of East Palo Alto Council Chambers
2415 University Avenue, East Palo Alto, California
Thursday, November 17, 2011 at 4:00 p.m.**

AGENDA

- 1) ROLL CALL
- 2) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES – August 27th Board Retreat and September 22nd Board Meeting
- 3) APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 4) PUBLIC COMMENT – *Limited time for public comment on items not on the Agenda. Members of the public may address the Board on any Agenda item when that item is considered by the Board.*
- 5) REGULAR BUSINESS – EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
 - a) Grant Applications: Approval of Resolutions #11-11-17A, #11-11-17B, #11-11-17C, and #11-11-17D authorizing the Executive Director to submit up to four applications to the California Department of Water Resources Local Levee Assistance Program to evaluate and design coastal levee improvements that would protect properties in East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, and Palo Alto, and provide ecosystem and recreational benefits
 - b) S.F. Bay – Highway 101 project: California Dept. of Water Resources grant and 2012 plan of activities
 - c) Schedule and content of key issues for Board consideration between December 2011 and March 2012
- 6) BOARD MEMBER MATTERS - *Non-agendized comments, requests, or announcements by Board members; no action may be taken.*
- 7) ADJOURNMENT

PLEASE NOTE: This Board meeting Agenda can be viewed online by 4:00 p.m. on November 14, 2011 at www.sfcjpa.org -- click on the "Meetings" tab near the top. The reports for the items described on the Agenda will be available at the same online location by 4:00 p.m. on November 15, 2011.

NEXT MEETING: December 15, 2011 at the Menlo Park City Council Chambers.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

Chairperson Kwok called the meeting to order at 9:15 a.m. at the City of Menlo Park Arrillaga Recreation Center, Menlo Park, CA. Chairperson Kwok thanked everyone for attending the meeting and then introduced Assemblyman Rich Gordon who briefly spoke about the importance of the SFCJPA stating that he would continue to support the SFCJPA and its member agencies. Assemblyman Gordon stated that the SFCJPA should keep working towards the successful completion of its flood protection and ecosystem restoration projects.

1) ROLL CALL

Members Present: Director Burt, City of Palo Alto
Chairperson Kwok, Santa Clara Valley Water District
Director Groom, San Mateo County Flood Control District
Director Abrica, City of East Palo Alto
Director Keith, City of Menlo Park

Alternates Present: Director Ohtaki, City of Menlo Park
Director Romero, City of East Palo Alto
Brian Schmidt, Santa Clara Valley Water District

JPA Staff Present: Len Materman, Executive Director
Kevin Murray, Staff
Miyko Harris-Parker, Staff

Legal Counsel Present: Greg Stepanicich

Others Present: Sherry Lund, Sherry Lund & Associates; Assemblyman, Rich Gordon;
Trish Mulvey, Palo Alto resident; Dennis Parker, East Palo Alto resident;
Art Kraemer, Palo Alto Resident; Jerry Hearn, Portola Valley resident;
Chris Elias, Santa Clara Valley Water District; Saied Hosseini, Santa
Clara Valley Water District; Joe Teresi, City of Palo Alto; Ann Stillman,
San Mateo County Flood Control District; Tom Zigterman, Stanford

2) INTRODUCTION, OUTCOMES & GROUND RULES- SHERRY LUND, FACILITATOR

Mrs. Lund provided an explanation of the expected outcomes of the day and laid out the ground rules for how the session would be conducted.

3) OVERVIEW: CAPITAL AND OPERATIONAL FINANCES, AND THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS- LEN MATERMAN

Mr. Materman presented an overview of the finances for capital, operational, and the Corp of Engineers finances.

Director Abrica stated that the map of the flood areas does not accurately reflect the reality of the 1998 flood. Director Abrica stated that there were more areas that were flooded than what the map is showing.

Chairperson Kwok asked when the District went to the voters in 2000, did Clean Safe Creeks specify that we were going to be doing a project for a 100-year flood.

Chris Elias, Santa Clara Valley Water District, stated that Clean Safe Creeks specifies 100-year fluvial protection.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

Director Burt asked that every time we use whether it's 50 or 100-year can we distinguish whether we are referring to the traditional definition which is the historical figure of 100 year or the definition we used for downstream of 101. Director Burt clarified saying that he would like a clear understanding of when the SCVWD's historical figure of 100-year and TWI's figure for downstream of 101 are being used. Mr. Materman responded saying that the Corp process allows for a 50-year program and that's how we differentiate between the two terms. Director Burt asked if the Corps includes sea level rise. Mr. Materman responded yes.

Director Abrica asked since this planning session is meant for the SFCJPA to discuss in a comprehensive way, perhaps hypothetical only, to get things done why is it we cannot seem to have an in-depth conversation about upstream retention. Director Abrica continued saying that he understands that Stanford is a private landowner, but that to him it seems to always be kind of an underground kind of conversation and that it bothers him because Stanford is a powerful institution, they have their own meetings, their own criteria but he does not see why we as a public agency should shy away from putting it on the map and saying potentially this is a possibility and engage them politically. Director Abrica said that over the years a few of the Board members, himself included, feel as if it is not proper to continue to hide and only have side conversations. Director Abrica stated that this is a political issue that we can engage Stanford in and have a conversation being reasonable and rational.

Director Burt responded saying that one of the things he thinks that came out of the meeting he participated in with Stanford a few days prior is to move in the direction Director Abrica is speaking about. Director Burt said that Stanford expressed an interest in taking a role that is in our bylaws of being an Associate member of the SFCJPA and being at the table on an ongoing basis with an increased level of engagement. Director Burt continued saying that the largest element in our minds is the participation that Director Abrica was speaking about and that are other collaborations that we may be doing with Stanford under our other priorities but the one around flood prevention is certainly around the upstream retention. Director Burt said that he thinks we are going to move in that direction not only getting Stanford back on the map as far an alternative that is laid out on the table but an ongoing substantive engagement.

Mr. Materman added that at the meeting it was suggested that once per quarter a discussion regarding Stanford be agendized for the SFCJPA Board at which time Stanford could come and speak, and then we can speak about the work being done with Stanford.

Director Abrica stated that whether Stanford is engaged or not we should be, as that is how we do everything else through land use planning, development and redevelopment, we include everybody and it does not mean we are going to do something to their property or with their property. Director Abrica commented on if Stanford decides not be engaged are we not going to talk about it and just accept it because he believes that is irresponsible as a public agency.

Director Groom stated that she shares Director Abrica's concern and that it seems that the Board hears an awful lot about things after they happen as opposed to before they happen. Director Groom said that she was troubled by some of the information that was presented about Stanford and the Board has not discussed anything on the topic. Director Groom stated that she would like to know when discussions are occurring; a quick e-mail saying here's a new development to the Board would be good.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

Director Burt clarified that the meeting he participated in with Stanford was in response to Tom Zigterman's comments at the last Board meeting where he indicated that Stanford wanted to be engaged with the SFCJPA.

Director Ohtaki asked that the Board be given copies of the 2009 upstream retention analysis. Director Schmidt asked if a range could be put on the two figures of \$25 million and \$60 million and if we are 95% certain about the two figures and in what range. Mr. Materman responded saying that this is the early phase but that it would probably be clearer to say \$25-35 million and \$60- \$70 million. Mr. Materman stated that these figures are based off of the two primary factors of construction costs and right- of-way costs and assuming that planning and design are covered as well. Mr. Materman stated that are other costs that are unknown that might drive up these figures. Director Burt strongly suggested that the numbers be presented in a much more accurate way as most people will look at this and say ok I'm preparing for \$25 million to just over \$25 million and that's a big difference in positioning.

Chairperson Kwok stated that the numbers are very critical and that it is best to probably wait until we have 50–90% design to have more accurate numbers.

Chairperson Kwok stated that we should have discussion at the Board level about whether or not we want to continue on with the Corps process especially regarding the funding and financial obligations for the projects.

Due to time constraints further discussion will take place at regular Board meetings.

4) REVIEW PURPOSES OF THE SFCJPA

Mrs. Lund provided copies of the SFCJPA's stated purposes to the Board.

Director Burt commented the fifth purpose saying that it speaks about making recommendations to member agencies for funding but it does not talk about pursuing funding which we have been doing and that it says for funding and alternatives for long- term flood control. Director Burt asked if it should be of instead of and. Mr. Materman responded saying that he believes what was meant was that the SFCJPA would make recommendations for funding and make recommendations for alternatives that the member agencies would consider. Director Burt asked if that is alternatives on funding, if so it is not clear. Mr. Materman stated that he believes that the agency is past the fifth purpose already as we are no longer talking about making recommendations for funding, we are actually seeking and getting funding.

Chairperson Kwok asked if there are any liability issues regarding member agencies contributing additional funds for projects. Mr. Stepanicich responded saying that the way the SFCJPA agreement is set up is that there is no liability for any debts or obligations of the authority that individual members may take on. Mr. Stepanicich stated that right now we have funding agreements set up with the SCVWD and the SMCFCD that required approval from their governing bodies to approve that they themselves can make contributions to financial interest.

Director Schmidt commented that one of the questions that was in the informational packet for this session was do we really need to do all of this. Director Schmidt noted that we have not done much regarding environmental values as an independent thing. Director Schmidt said that this does not mean that it cannot stay there but it's kind of parched and we can add the chance to do something about it and add some value. Director Schmidt stated that the information provided for purpose number four seems to conflict. Mr. Materman stated that we have been

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

engaged with agency funding and funding from the Menlo Park Fire Protection District to increase our capacity for our ability to understand water that is coming down the system that could result in flooding so that we can get an early warning picture for the entire watershed. Mr. Materman explained that we set up a system to read the water on the San Mateo side that is different from the Santa Clara side and that trying to get the two systems to talk to each other has been very difficult. Mr. Materman said that now we believe that we need to create a watershed-wide system that can talk to each other more easily and create a better result. Mr. Materman said that the multijurisdictional state of our agency speaks to us having an integrated emergency system on both sides of the Creek instead of the patch work which we were funded to do and it is painfully obvious that it is inefficient.

Director Schmidt said he thinks emergency response is probably very appropriate work for a JPA and probably not something that should be apart as we don't even have our 100 year yet.

5) PROJECT PLANNING AND DESIGN

Mrs. Lund opened up discussion on ideas for project planning and design starting with the question should we design and construct protection against tidal flooding in addition to fluvial flooding. Director Abrica stated that the SFCJPA should consider both tidal flooding in addition to fluvial flooding. Director Keith agreed that the SFCJPA should consider both tidal flooding and fluvial flooding. Director Keith asked if we only did one would residents still have to pay for flood insurance. Mr. Materman responded saying that we would have to provide both tidal and fluvial flooding in order for residents to benefit from not having to pay into the flood insurance program. Director Burt said that if we take a whole scale responsibility for tidal flooding, we double our scope and at the same time we are just starting to get ourselves around real progress around the fluvial. Director Burt said that it is an important issue but given what we just heard about funding he has a greater struggle with if we can take on this additional scope.

Director Abrica asked why we would not include tidal flooding given what we know about sea level rise. Director Burt responded saying that to him the question remains are we an agency around the San Francisquito Creek impact or are we an agency that covers a geographic area and all things related to flooding in that geographic area. Director Abrica responded saying that he believes the public, based off of conversations he has had with different people, see us as the only agency that is looking to see how we can protect ourselves from potential flooding.

Director Schmidt said that his inclination is design and planning of tidal and that implementation would be a separate issue based on the funding we can get for example if the SCVWD gets some type of a Clean Safe Creek renewal that goes to a certain level of funding then the SFCJPA tries to do some type of assessment that goes beyond that. Director Schmidt asked if the tidal only flooding was pretty much jurisdiction centric, for example if Palo Alto deals with its flooding is it the only district that is affected by it. Mr. Materman responded saying that our understanding is that if Palo Alto were to build tidal levees around the airport, and from the landfill around the flood basin, bayside up to the Mtn. View border, our understanding is that if there is a significantly high tide that it might come in anyway coming through Mtn. View and flood Palo Alto and that was the thinking behind the Shoreline study was that it was comprehensive. Mr. Materman said that it was important to highlight that there is Federal Authorization for a Project that covers San Mateo County and all of Santa Clara County including Shoreline and the question is: is there a local entity to pick up that part of the study and provide matching funds and local leadership to design and plan a tidal solution. Mr. Materman continued saying that the SCVWD picked it up for the Santa Clara County and that

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

was countywide and no one in San Mateo County besides the SFCJPA has stepped forward and said we will take a look at and we did by including it in our authorization.

Mr. Materman stated that the question is: does the SFCJPA really want to step up to the plate and say we'll be the local sponsor and spend local money to work with the Corp in creating this or do we want to say in terms of the county or in terms of the city, can you folks become the local sponsor for a tidal project?

Director Romero responded saying not being a global warming denier he thinks we have to address the issue of sea level rise but then we have to think if we add tidal are we going to get to a project that we can actually implement. Director Romero said highlighting what Director Schmidt said he thinks that we should plan our fluvial protection based on the 100 year tides and that we should be an agency that helps plan the coastal local piece but still concentrate on getting a project that deals with the fluvial piece but not ignore the coastal.

Director Groom stated that to her we have a responsibility to fix whatever we need to and to her that means tidal and fluvial.

Director Burt stated that the tidal issue is a very important issue and that the City of Palo Alto and the SCVWD both treat it that way but this agency was not formed around that and bylaws do not speak to that. Director Burt said that this will be a fundamental shift in broadening the scope of the agency, in Santa Clara County we have our SCVWD that is established as its own taxation and has this role and responsibility, now whether in certain focus areas there should be some other way to have a lead actor is an important question. Director Burt said for Palo Alto there is no clarity that our relationship should be on the tidal flooding with this agency vs. our neighboring city of Mtn. View who we actually share more of a baseline levee system also in Palo Alto unlike the other member agencies we have other street flooding, fluvial issues with two of our other creeks that are not a part of this agency. This is all around San Francisquito Creek flooding and it was always that there is a broader amount as it enters the Bay there is an intersection between the tidal action and the fluvial action that's what this agency was founded for. Director Burt said that if we want to have an assessment around a fundamental change in the scope and purpose of this agency he thinks this is bigger than us just raising our hands right now and changing the game.

Director Groom stated that she does not believe that we need change in our mission; she believes that we should finish the study with the tidal included. Director Burt responded saying that the mission never was all flooding in our various jurisdictions, that was not the mission of this agency and it may be that we should change the mission and have it be we should address all flooding, but he does not know if this agency wants to pick up all of the flooding that happens in the rest of Palo Alto. Director Groom responded by reading purpose number three that says Plan flood control measures, and saying that is what we are doing right now.

Director Burt responded saying relating to the San Francisquito Creek, and that we need to have a sizable conversation as he thought we had clarified that there are flooding elements that are in the geographical areas of our city that are purely tidal that are not related to San Francisquito Creek. Director Abrica stated that the mission and the stated purposes are definitely outdated as we have already gone past them. Director Abrica said an example of this is when he first got on the Board and it was made known that there was not going to be any tidal studied for East Palo Alto and Menlo Park and that Palo Alto's tidal issues would be included in

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

the Shoreline study; both the cities of East Palo Alto and Menlo Park put in money, not a lot, but still we put in money to have our cities tidal included in the Corp study. Director Abrica continued saying that we are already into the tidal but that later on down the line we need to make some major decisions as an agency we're not fully into it but we are into it and from our perspective we cannot ignore it and if it isn't this agency than we might be a partner, but we have to figure out a way at least in planning and the visioning, all the documents are here. Director Abrica said that the feeling he gets from the community groups their not really differentiating a lot of things and we have to look at what is the right thing to do here.

Mr. Materman stated that he believed that Director Abrica was right that we are spending money right now with the Corps and these maps are not cheap.

Director Romero stated that if we only get people out of the 50-year floodplain we will not eliminate their \$1,400-\$1,500 cost for tidal insurance. Director Romero said that if we cannot figure out the tidal issue our constituents are not only going to be paying the \$500/\$400 a year bond payment, they're also going to be paying an additional \$1,400-\$1,500, so we're kind of partially there. Director Romero said that he agrees with Director Burt that we don't need to make this decision today and further discussion is needed.

Director Schmidt commented that to the extent that we start shifting our tidal he thinks we also need to revisit who pays for what in the SFCJPA because we are not just looking at the old river flood/fluvial flood plain but we're having damages done in other areas and we need to look at the issue of the SCVWD paying the lion's share of the SFCJPA's cost.

6) PUBLIC COMMENT

Tom Zigterman, Stanford University, stated that Stanford is open to integrating their discussion with the SFCJPA. Mr. Zigterman stated that Stanford is open-minded and is going to take the Watershed perspective as the SFCJPA does and that we should talk and work together.

Dennis Parker, City of East Palo Alto Resident and representative of the East Palo Alto Gardens Neighborhood Association, stated that he wanted to make the point that the probability of the coincidence of a 100 year fluvial event and a 100 year tidal event is very, very high given the fact that our normal rainfall starts right after Thanksgiving the extreme high powers of the year are all very predictable and usually happen right around Christmas and New Year so it's the high probability of the two combined. Mr. Parker stated that he wished he understood a little bit more about a 100 year tidal event; we know what causes fluvial but we really should study more on the 100 year tidal event. Mr. Parker said that he was not here in 1998 for the flood but his wife was and other people they talked to in the neighborhood their experience was that they witnessed water pooling up in the intersections where the storm drains are and gave the impression that the neighborhoods were not drained and the water retained from the Creek and from the rainfall. Mr. Parker commented on the fact that most of the drains in the Bay Area are antiquated and in his neighborhood storm drains flow into the Creek and that the storm drain issues are they are actually creating a backflow and should be considered in the scope of the project.

Jerry Hearn, Portola Valley resident, thanked the SFCJPA staff for putting together the pack of information for the meeting as it provided a lot of information. Mr. Hearn asked if the figures of \$175 million and \$80 million are the government's share or total cost. Mr. Materman responded saying total cost. Mr. Hearn asked if anyone knows who is responsible for tidal flooding in the nation; is the Corps responsible for that or is it on an individual basis.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

Director Abrica responded saying that he happened to be in a conference where there were some people from a Federal agency that deals with coastal and he asked about tidal issues and they responded saying that they are happy to do some studies and happy to do some graphs but they basically turn it over the state or to the local people. Mr. Hearn thanked Director Burt for his comments on the separation of the fluvial and tidal flooding, saying that when the SFCJPA was created it was created based off of fluvial flow as people back then were not really talking about tidal very much because we did not know as much as we know now.

Jim Porter, San Mateo County Public works Director, stated that he wanted to clarify how tidal flooding from the Bay is handled in San Mateo County. Mr. Porter said that about a year or two ago FEMA released updated flood insurance maps which showed some of the levees in San Mateo County needed to be essentially upgraded to pull some properties from the floodplain specifically they were from San Mateo, Redwood City and to some extent Foster City. Mr. Porter said that the way this was funded and managed was by the cities and part of the reasons for that is because San Mateo County Flood Control District is much different than the Santa Clara Valley Water District as we don't have a dedicated County-wide funding source in San Mateo County for storm water management, The County has enacted a small addition to the vehicle license fee that's distributed to the county from the cities for really a collections system for improvement for NPS type work. Mr. Porter continued saying we're a bit different, we do have this legion or this zone which is the San Francisquito Creek Flood Control Zone but the funding there is limited as well, we receive roughly \$200,000 a year which is part of the 1% property tax so were not quite set up like the Santa Clara Valley Water District the two counties are very different.

7) OPTIONS FOR FINANCING PROJECT CONSTRUCTION

Mr. Materman provided the Board with a brief breakdown of the agency's cost over the eleven years it's been in existence. Mr. Materman stated that in the eleven years the SFCJPA has spent about \$6.5 million with 54% of that coming from San Mateo County side and 46% from Santa Clara County side.

Director Burt commented that we have been tentatively assuming that we would have an assessment district that people would be able to buy in to. Director Burt state that he wants to make sure that we have a mechanism to fund the construction, if we have an assessment district, fund the construction before people get out of the insurance, whether we need to bring a larger bond and the first five or so years are paying for the bond and not the capital.

Chairperson Kwok expressed his concern over the fact that the SCVWD will be going out for more Measure B funds while the SFCJPA plans on create an assessment district. Mr. Stepanicich responded saying that it may be possible that there are multiple flood improvement taxes but it would not be a case of "double-dipping" if each funded different improvements.

Director Schmidt said that a political feasible financing is where no one's problem is completely fixed until there is at least financial commitment on all parts as it's everybody's problem. Director Schmidt said that one way that that might happen is that early components of construction built get to a 50 year level of flood protection. Director Schmidt commented on the fact that it is not 100% certain that the SCVWD will move forward with a renewal measure next year and if we do move forward we might lose and then we have to figure out what comes next.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

Art Kraemer, Palo Alto resident and Board member of the Crescent Park Neighborhood Association, told the Board that if the SFCJPA Board accept the Crescent Park neighborhood's area for enough money to fix the Chaucer Street Bridge, which caused a major portion of the damage in the 1998 flood, we'll guarantee that that money is done. Mr. Kraemer said that the SFCJPA will have to set a date for when the completion the work on the 101 and the Bay is done and set a date for fixing the Chaucer Street Bridge and give us a reasonable cost the Crescent Park district will guarantee the money is done. Mr. Kramer said that there was a bond put up now or even in 2015 it's going to be no unless we see something is done.

Trish Mulvey, Palo Alto resident, wanted to make sure the that different scenarios that lay out the difference between floodwalls are in some places are understood compared to the impacts of the bypass because it's very different, people have very different interest and people in some communities might be totally willing to pay for the bypass as it does not affect their use of their backyard and the bypass is a hugely impact in a very different neighborhood.

Mr. Hearn stated that the Board has talked about public outreach and informing citizens but the other side of that is a two way street. Mr. Hearn said people need to be heard and the idea of focus groups and hearing what people have to say in focus groups is great because if people get heard then they get behind it. Mr. Hearn stated that the assessment district is a better way to go and he prefers to go a little more slowly and getting things really nailed down so that you can talk to people and say this is where we are going.

8) OPTIONS FOR MANAGING PROJECT CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE

Mr. Materman provided a brief outline of options for managing project construction and maintenance. Director Schmidt stated that while he does not have a well formed opinion on the topic at the moment he understands that the SCVWD has put in a lot of staff time and he interested in knowing how that applies to the overall picture.

Director Romero commented that coordination is important but he gets worried when we talk about having a staff person here or a staff person there, because that leaves a question as to who is going to be doing the coordination and it probably will not be the Executive Director so maybe we do need to add a part-time another staff member. Director Burt responded saying that we can either hire a new staff member or we contract out.

Mr. Materman stated that the purposes of the SFCJPA drive us in terms of what we want to take on and that will help drive the budget document for the next fiscal year.

Director Schmidt commented that there seems to be a lot of interest in expanding the budget for planning and design for contract management either by contract or hired staff, but we have not really talked about construction management. Director Schmidt asked if it is possible that be one of the member agencies who is responsible for construction management and the SFCJPA basically monitors that agency because the SFCJPA is responsible for making sure everybody is funding the project.

Due to time constraints further discussion to be agendized at future regular Board meetings.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Session Minutes

9) MISSION/SCOPE SUMMARY: TEST SFCJPA PURPOSES IN LIGHT OF TODAY'S DISCUSSION

Mrs. Lund reviewed the purposes with the Board. Mr. Materman explained how Mr. Murray has done quite a bit of work with regards to purpose number one and that if this purpose were to be taken away then each member agency would then have to take on the work that Mr. Murray does with the residents. Mr. Murray gave a brief description of some of the work that is involved with this purpose.

Director Burt suggested that the second purpose should read 'plan and implement flood control measures for the San Francisquito Creek Watershed.' Director Schmidt suggested clarifying as appropriate the word implement. Mr. Materman responded saying, plan, design and implement.

10) PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Hearn said as he looks around at everyone involved in the SFCJPA when the SFCJPA was first born it's mostly completely new people who are mostly concerned with flood control where in the beginning it was a bunch of agency people like fish and game and environmental people like himself. Mr. Hearn stated that he really wants the SFCJPA to hold on to the environmental piece and the recreational piece because there will come a time for this agency where flood control will no longer be an issue and then what is it that we do. Mr. Hearn said that we have all of these other resources that we need to be working on so he really appreciates keeping those other purposes. Mr. Hearn continued saying that this is a very small staff that does fantastic work, they're efficient, and they're very effective and I think we have to be very careful about making sure we don't overload them and that he thinks the idea that the SFCJPA is going to take on what he would consider the project management of one of these construction projects, the way to go is not to hire a staff person but as Director Romero stated there are plenty of people who do this type of project planning for a living. Mr. Hearn said that he is working with someone right now in that regard and one of the things he would suggest is to take the load off the Executive Director and Project Manager whose jobs are to be out there visioning with the public and doing planning and not having to be in lead with the construction. Mr. Hearn said another way of taking care of it so that there is not a single person there that the Executive Director is managing is that you can put together a small group of people maybe from the member agencies public works people who meet once every couple of months with the construction manager and with the design team taking the load off of the Executive Director so that he and the Project Manager can be out there doing the visionary long-term stuff.

Mr. Kraemer stated that the major purpose for having this meeting was to determine how to get funding for the Creek projects that are already being worked on and that he does not think that happened today and that we have to think about how we are going to get the money to complete what we are already working on. Director Burt asked if Mr. Kraemer was speaking about the balance of the Bay-101 project. Mr. Kraemer replied yes.

11) CLOSING: NEXT STEPS

Mrs. Lund thanked the SFCJPA staff for all of their hard work and the Board for participating in the meeting.

Meeting ended at 2:16 pm.

Minutes prepared by Clerk of the Board: Miyko Harris-Parker.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
September 22, 2011 Board Meeting Minutes

Chairperson Kwok called the meeting to order at 4:05 p.m. at the City of Menlo Park Council Chambers, Menlo Park, CA.

DRAFT

1) ROLL CALL

Members Present: Director Burt, City of Palo Alto
Chairperson Kwok, Santa Clara Valley Water District
Director Groom, San Mateo County Flood Control District

Members Absent: Director Abrica, City of East Palo Alto
Director Keith, City of Menlo Park

Alternates Present: Director Ohtaki, City of Menlo Park (left at 5:05pm)
Director Romero, City of East Palo Alto
Brian Schmidt, Santa Clara Valley Water District (In audience)

JPA Staff Present: Len Materman, Executive Director
Kevin Murray, Staff
Miyko Harris-Parker, Staff

Legal Counsel Present: Greg Stepanicich

Others Present: Trish Mulvey, Palo Alto resident; Dennis Parker, East Palo Alto resident; Art Kraemer, Palo Alto Resident; Chris Elias, Santa Clara Valley Water District; Saied Hosseini, Santa Clara Valley Water District; Joe Teresi, City of Palo Alto; Ann Stillman, San Mateo County Flood Control District

2) APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES – July 28, 2011 Board Meeting

Mr. Materman informed the Board that a page from the July 28, 2011 minutes had been inadvertently omitted from the Board agenda packet. Mr. Materman asked that the Board include the omitted page for approval. Director Groom moved approval of the July 28, 2011 Board meeting minutes with the addition of the omitted page. Director Burt seconded. July 28, 2011 Board meeting minutes and additional page of minutes approved 3 -0. Director Romero and Director Ohtaki abstained.

3) APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Materman asked that the Board include item 5a, a discussion of the State Department of Water Resources 1E Grant. Director Burt moved to include 5a, a discussion of the State Department of Water Resources 1E Grant to the agenda. Director Romero seconded. Agenda approved 5-0 with the addition of item 5a.

4) PUBLIC COMMENT

Brian Schmidt, SCVWD Board member and SFCJPA Alternate, spoke to the Board about his participation in the coastal clean-up activities. Mr. Schmidt said that he was able to work on the San Francisquito Creek and that it looked pretty good. Mr. Schmidt noted that this year's clean up produced three bags of trash.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
September 22, 2011 Board Meeting Minutes

5) REGULAR BUSINESS

State Department of Water Resources 1E grant

Mr. Materman informed the Board that the application for the SFCJPA's \$8 million request had been recommended by the State Department of Water Resources staff and that the finalized list should be determined within month or so. Mr. Materman stated that there would be a public meeting in which the many agencies whose projects were not selected will be doing their best to promote their projects to have an opportunity to have their projects get selected in the final list. Mr. Materman said the SFCJPA should have representation as well to secure our chances of staying on the final list. Mr. Materman presented a draft letter of support to the Board to approve sending to the State Department of Water Resources.

Chairperson Kwok asked if the Grant is approved when would be able to start drawing the money. Mr. Murray responded saying that the funding will be available on a reimbursement plan and that there will be a series of meetings staff will have to have with the state before the funds are available. Chairperson Kwok asked for clarification on if the funds were allotted solely for construction costs. Mr. Murray responded saying that the funds can be used for planning and design as well as construction. Chairperson Kwok stated that he wants thank you letters sent to our electeds; Assemblyman Gordon, Senator Simitian and all the others who provided us support for these funds. Chairperson Kwok also thanked staff from the SFCJPA and the member agencies noting that this could not be done without their hard work.

Mr. Materman told the Board that we need to show the State before contract signing that we do have the matching funds available and that it will take a few months to work all of the details out as we will not know until the first week of November at the earliest whether or not we made the final list.

Director Burt asked if it the letter of support would obligate us to have matching funds and if so, then each Board member would have to go back to their councils before the letter could be sent. Mr. Materman responded saying that our obligation will be with the signed contract. Mr. Materman continued saying that we first have to figure out what the total cost will be, then we will sit down with the State to determine what they will allow for in-kind contribution. We will then be able to determine the amount of matching funds in cash required of the SFCJPA, and that discussion should happen by the December Board meeting.

Trish Mulvey, City of Palo Alto resident, stated that she and Jerry Hearn, Portola Valley resident, who is out of town and could not make the meeting, support the staff recommendation and they will both be writing letters of support.

Director Romero commented on the fact that we do not have \$8 million in hand right now and he asked if it would be prudent to hire a cost estimator now as he does not want to go to his council and say we need half a million dollars now and then six months later go back and say we need a full million. Director Romero stated that he would rather know now what all the cost would be instead of having to go back to his council more than once to secure the matching funds.

Chairperson Kwok suggested that we wait until the 90% design is complete before we determine if we need to hire a cost estimator. Chairperson Kwok agreed with Director Romero's comments on the fact that we do need to have solid numbers to take back to the councils and boards.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
September 22, 2011 Board Meeting Minutes

Director Romero motioned to approve the letter of support. Director Groom seconded. Letter of support for State Department of Water Resources 1E Grant approved 5-0.

Grant Application; Approval of Resolution #119-22 authorizing the Executive Director to apply to the California River Parkways Grant Program

Mr. Murray gave a brief description of the grant especially noting that the grant application is due on October 15. Mr. Murray stated that it is unknown right now if we will pursue the grant and that staff is in talks with the City of Palo Alto to confirm who owns the land that would benefit from the grant funds.

Director Burt asked for clarification on the timing issue with the City of Palo Alto approving the design. Mr. Murray responded saying there is a timeline in which we need to build the project and we are not certain at what level the flood protection planning for this reach will be and where it will be in order to build these bike trails. Director Burt asked for the deadline date and the proposed location site. Mr. Murray replied saying that the deadline date is the year 2016 and that the proposed area is Hopkins Park. Mr. Murray added that this reach of the trail had been identified in Palo Alto's bike and transportation plan.

Director Ohtaki asked what the available funds for the grant were. Mr. Murray replied saying that there is \$30 million available and that typical award amounts from the last cycle were around \$900,000 per project, which is the amount we would request.

Director Ohtaki moved approval of Resolution #119-22 authorizing the Executive Director to apply to the California River Parkways Grant Program. Director Romero seconded. Resolution #119-22 authorizing the Executive Director to apply to the California River Parkways Grant Program approved 5-0.

Board Meeting Schedule for the remainder of 2011

Mr. Materman stated that he had been notified by two Board members that October meeting date would be difficult to attend. Mr. Materman requested that the Board decide if the October meeting should occur noting that there was nothing that needed to be addressed that could not wait until November. Mr. Materman also reminded the Board that the November and December meetings would be on the third Thursday of each month as the fourth Thursday of both months fell during the holidays.

Chairperson Kwok asked if there were any objections to the October Board meeting being canceled. Director Ohtaki motioned to approve the revised Board meeting schedule. Director Romero seconded. Revision of Board meeting schedule approved 5-0.

Review of Fiscal Year 2010-2011 Operating Budget and the process to approve the FY2012-13 Budget

Mr. Materman presented the FY 2010-2011 Operating budget for review. Mr. Materman stated that the Finance committee, Director Groom and Director Keith, met with Ms. Harris-Parker and him and reviewed the information. Director Groom commented on the fact that at this time everyone's budget is very lean and that the Finance committee is happy with the realistic budget of the SFCJPA.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
September 22, 2011 Board Meeting Minutes

Director Burt commented on the deviations in the negative and what that indicates going forward as we might be looking at a problem in the future due to lack of funds.

Director Groom stated that in the Finance Committee meeting there was discussion about speaking with the MPFPD regarding lowering the monthly lease as well as discussing other ways of saving money. Director Groom noted that there is not a lot of money in this budget.

Director Burt stated that 2011/2012 could exceed what was budgeted because of catch-up situations like the auditor. Mr. Materman responded saying that in legal what we paid in July and August of this year for last fiscal year's legal fees was still below budget and we budgeted quite a bit more for the current fiscal year than we ever have. Mr. Materman continued explaining that in terms of the audit; the costs are always in catch up but never go over the budgeted amount. Mr. Materman stated that he believes that for the long-term we have an unsustainable situation and that in terms of this fiscal year, between the amounts we put in and contingency we should have no problem, but the bottom line is that it is a deficit budget as we approved a budget with \$553,000 in expense with an income of \$490,000 and that is a problem.

Chairperson Kwok said that as we move into the next year the budget will be crucial and he asked when the FY 2012/13 draft budget would be brought to the Board. Mr. Materman replied saying that the Finance Committee will meet again this year to look at a proposed budget and a budget plan for the future.

Director Romero asked for clarification that we would be able to bill some administration cost to the 1E Grant funds. Mr. Materman replied yes but those funds would not be available for this fiscal year.

SFCJPA August 27, 2011 Board Retreat Follow-up: Discussion of amending the Purposes statement and other portions of the May 1999 Joint Powers Agreement that Created the SFCJPA

Mr. Materman provided the Board with a brief retreat follow-up discussion that included draft amended purposes.

Mrs. Mulvey stated that she wants to explore how to get to goals-&-objectives so that for all the "purposes" we can imagine tracking accomplishments, measures of effectiveness and adaptive management. Mrs. Mulvey also provided a revision for the proposed purpose number five suggesting it read; Provide local and regional emergency response agencies with San Francisquito watershed information that enhances their communications and response capabilities.

Mrs. Mulvey read to the Board Mr. Hearn's comments as he is out of town. Mr. Hearn comments said: as to the JPA purposes, I am fine with most of them. I would suggest that the second bullet read: Plan, design and implement measures, either stand-alone or in conjunction with other projects, that restore and enhance the environmental values, and create opportunities for recreation and connectivity within and among creek side communities.

Chairperson Kwok suggested that the Board take some time to review the proposed purposes and then contact Mr. Materman with any comments.

Director Burt commented on purpose number one, asking if it indicates that the SFCJPA would be taking on tidal flooding or all of the member jurisdictions as it's all the communities surrounding the

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority

November 17, 2011 Board Meeting

Agenda Item 2

September 22, 2011 Board Meeting Minutes

Creek it's not the impacts from the Creek. Mr. Materman replied, agreeing that the "communities surrounding the Creek" is fairly vague and we can reformat it to the correct solution.

Greg Stepanicich, SFCJPA Legal Counsel replied saying that the big issue of coastal vs. tidal needs to be decided on before we finalize the purposes.

(The term "tidal vs. coastal" is intended to refer to areas impacted by Creek flooding and coastal flooding versus areas impacted by only coastal flooding.)

Director Burt responded saying that whatever we settle on we should add that clarity. Director Burt voiced his concern on the connotation of the phrase "implement flood management measures" as seems to communicate that we flood, then we manage, as opposed to our intention of providing flood prevention. Mr. Materman stated that flood management is a more widely used term these days than flood control as flood control implies structural solutions whereas flood management leaves open the possibility that it could mean structural but also could mean things that we are doing like the work in the Palo Alto Baylands where we opened up the bigger tracks to high flows which offered environmental benefits as well and is more managing the water instead of simply controlling it. Mr. Materman stated that for most people control implies what was done on San Francisquito downstream of 101 which was this big channel and there are other elements of managing floodwaters, especially upstream as retention is a management tool it is not about control. Mr. Materman said that he would take Director Burt's comment into consideration and look for the best descriptive phrasing for our needs. Director Burt said that he concurred with both of the comments Mrs. Mulvey provided.

Director Groom stated that she liked the change Mr. Hearn suggested on number two and that she really likes the revision of number three as it is straight forward.

Director Romero asked if all comments should be in by the November Board meeting. Mr. Materman responded saying yes. Director Romero said that a discussion of the issue with tidal vs. coastal needs to be had and he asked when the topic would be agendized. Mr. Materman responded saying that we hope to have the Corp information by November and that it is hard to pin them down but we are pushing them to get us the information then.

Director Burt stated that the City of Palo Alto will engage the SCVWD on the tidal vs. coastal issue.

Chairperson Kwok shared with the Board that the SCVWD will be convening a citizen advisory committee on Measure B Funds.

SFCJPA Board Retreat Follow-up: Engaging a firm to gather and analyze property and voter data to assess the feasibility of, and recommend a strategy for, an SFCJPA special financing district

Mr. Materman informed the Board that he sat with a principal at a firm that has done considerable amount of work in the area of gathering and analyzing property and voter data. Mr. Materman provided a summary of the project deliverables that the firm could provide. Mr. Materman said that the cost estimate for a 2-3 month project was between \$4,000 and \$6,000. Mr. Materman said that he would continue speaking with this firm and one other.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 2
September 22, 2011 Board Meeting Minutes

Chairperson Kwok asked if the SFCJPA has the power to levy a tax. Mr. Materman replied yes.

Director Groom gave her support of engaging a firm to gather and analyze property and voter data to assess the feasibility of and recommend a strategy for an SFCJPA special financing district.

Director Romero stated that we should be able to get that bonding data for free as they ultimately want our business.

6) BOARD AND ASSOCIATE MEMBER MATTERS - *Non-agendized comments, requests, or announcements by Board and/or Associate members, no action may be taken*

Chairperson Kwok stated that the SCVWD Board took action to approve the budget that included the SFCJPA membership dues 7-0 and the thanked Mr. Materman for his presence at the meeting.

Director Romero gave congratulations to the SFCJPA and member agencies staff as well as the Board on making the first round list on the State Department of Water Resources 1E grant stating that this was great news. Chairperson Kwok noted that Assemblyman Gordon played a vital role in the outcome.

7) ADJOURNMENT:

Chairperson Kwok adjourned the meeting at 5:23 pm.

Minutes Prepared by Clerk of the Board: Miyko Harris-Parker

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 5
Executive Director's Report

With the help of Kevin Murray and Miyko Harris-Parker, I am pleased to submit the following:

- a) Grant Applications: Approval of Resolutions #11-11-17A, #11-11-17B, #11-11-17C, and #11-11-17D authorizing the Executive Director to submit up to four applications to the California Department of Water Resources Local Levee Assistance Program to evaluate and design coastal levee improvements that would protect properties in East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, and Palo Alto, and provide ecosystem and recreational benefits

On October 17, 2011 SFCJPA staff attended a workshop in Sacramento to gather information on grant funding that will become available through two sections of the State Department of Water Resources' Local Levee Assistance Program (LLAP). The LLAP was established to evaluate and repair levees in California that lie outside of the State Flood Control Plan. The two funding sources within the LLAP are the Local Levee Evaluation (LOLE) section, and the Local Levee Critical Repair (LLCR) section, for which the Department of Water Resources is seeking grant applications for projects that fall into one or both sections. Grant applications for both sections are due to DWR on December 8, 2011.

Our research into this program indicates that planning and design for projects to make improvements to the coastal levees in Menlo Park, East Palo Alto, and Palo Alto would be eligible and competitive for LLAP grant funding. On October 31, three Palo Alto City Council Members and staff indicated their strong interest in an application to this program, a sentiment that was subsequently echoed by Santa Clara Valley Water District staff. On November 1st, the East Palo Alto City Council approved the City providing support to the SFCJPA and the required local match so that the SFCJPA could submit an application to both sections of the LLAP. By applying for this grant, the SFCJPA is expressing its intention to engage in the planning and design of coastal levees that protect properties in the three cities at risk from tides and Sea Level Rise, many of whom are also at risk from Creek flooding.

For the coastal areas within East Palo Alto and Menlo Park, geotechnical information from the South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project and a hydraulic model performed by the Corps already exist for some of the areas where improved or new coastal levees could remove parcels from the 100-year tidal floodplain. Given this, the SFCJPA is beginning the preparation of an application to LOLE to conduct additional geotechnical, hydraulic, survey, and feasibility studies to bring the existing data to a point where design of new or improved levees can begin. Based on our conversations with DWR staff, we believe that this application would be very competitive for funding. In addition, we are preparing a companion application seeking LLCR funding to conduct design and environmental documentation of the project even though our proposal will have to make assumptions regarding the scope of the design work since we do not yet have all of the technical information mentioned above.

Similarly in Palo Alto, there is hydraulic and geotechnical information available from the Shoreline Study on the levees that run from San Francisquito Creek around the Palo Alto Airport and around the perimeter of the Palo Alto Flood Basin and connect to Charleston Slough. Yet, additional data and feasibility studies are needed to enter in to design and environmental documentation on this project. For levees south of the Creek, the SFCJPA may choose to apply to LOLE for data collection and feasibility only, or to both LOLE and LLCR for data collection and design/environmental documentation, depending on the local match commitment from the City of Palo Alto or Santa Clara Valley Water District.

The standard match requirement for both grant sections is 1:1, or 50% of project costs paid for by State grant funding, matched by 50% local or federal funds. Projects that demonstrate elements intended to restore ecological habitat or provide recreational benefits are able to reduce the local match requirement by the value of those elements, up to an additional 20% of total project costs, and projects in communities that qualify as a Disadvantaged Community as defined by the State, can seek an additional 40% State match. No project can receive more than 90% of project costs from the State.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 5
Executive Director's Report

The SFCJPA has already spoken with prospective consultants to assist us with the preparation of the applications, and specific expertise in engineering design, cost modeling and economic analysis to prepare some of the required attachments for the application package. Both the City of East Palo Alto and the Santa Clara Valley Water District staff have committed to reimburse the SFCJPA for the cost of these consultants.

In order to submit an application for grant funding, the SFCJPA Board will need to approve a resolution authorizing the Executive Director to apply for grant funding through the LLAP for each grant application submitted. As such, staff has prepared four resolutions for the Board to consider:

- 11-11-17A, authorizing application for LOLE funding for coastal levees in East Palo Alto and Menlo Park,
- 11-11-17B, authorizing application for LLCR funding for coastal levees in East Palo Alto,
- 11-11-17C, authorizing application for LOLE funding for coastal levees in Palo Alto, and
- 11-11-17D, authorizing application for LLCR funding for coastal levees in Palo Alto.

We are awaiting final word on whether or not match funding will be available for grant applications addressing the needs of Palo Alto area levees.

Proposed Board Action: Approve Resolutions #11-11-17A, #11-11-17B, #11-11-17C, and #11-11-17D (attached) authorizing the Executive Director to apply to the California Local Levee Assistance Program.

b) S.F. Bay – Highway 101 project: California Dept. of Water Resources grant and 2012 plan of activities

As reported at the September 22 Board meeting, on September 21, 2011 the State Department of Water Resources released its list of projects recommended for grant funding through the statewide Integrated Regional Water Management Program (IRWMP) 2011 Proposition 1E solicitation. This included full funding of the SFCJPA's request for \$8 million in State funding for construction of Phase 1 of our S.F. Bay-Highway 101 project, which must be complete by 2016.

We had hoped to receive the final list of funded projects in early November, but that has not happened. DWR staff reported to me on November 15th, that the list has been approved by the director of DWR but will not be published until the week after Thanksgiving, and that the recommended award will not change. At the December Board meeting, I hope to discuss a contract template we are due to receive, and begin the discussion of the securing the local match during the next two fiscal years. Once the package is complete and a contract with the State for grant funding has been prepared, I will return to the Board seeking a resolution to enter in to contract with the State and to accept State funding. During this agenda item, we will discuss a general timeline of planned activities on this project over the next twelve months.

c) Schedule and content of key issues for Board consideration between December 2011 and March 2012

I believe 2012 will be a very significant year for the SFCJPA, and the next four SFCJPA Board meetings will highlight the significant issues that will make it so. Thus, I felt it would be worthwhile to introduce a timeline of upcoming Board meetings and those issues, which include:

- Our Operating Budget for the 2011-12 Fiscal Year, and 2-3 year plan for Operating and Capital Budgets;
- The final design and Draft EIR for the S.F. Bay-Highway 101 project, including PA Golf Course mitigations;
- Our contract with DWR, and agreements to provide the local match of DWR funds and manage the construction of the Bay-101 project;
- Our future direction regarding the Army Corps of Engineers Feasibility Study; and
- Recommendations from our consultant assessing the feasibility of an SFCJPA financing district to secure funds for construction.

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
November 17, 2011 Board Meeting
Agenda Item 5
Executive Director's Report

All of these issues require time for analysis and deliberation, and thus it is my intention to bring up each of them for discussion before any Board action is requested at a subsequent meeting. This agenda item is for discussion of the timeline of issues and the schedule of Board meetings to accommodate that discussion.

Submitted by:



Len Materman
Executive Director



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK

JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY

www.sfcjpa.org

East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, Palo Alto, San Mateo County Flood Control District, and the Santa Clara Valley Water District

RESOLUTION NO. 11-11-17A

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
AUTHORIZING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDING TO THE
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FOR
THE EVALUATION OF SAN FRANCISCO BAY LEVEES
BETWEEN SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK AND MARSH ROAD

The Board of Directors hereby authorizes the filing of an application with the California Department of Water Resources to obtain funding for the San Francisco Bay Levee Improvement Project pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 75032.

The Authority Executive Director, Len Materman, is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary data, make investigations, sign certifications required as part of the application, and sign and file such application with the California Department of Water Resources.

Passed and adopted at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority on November 17, 2011.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Vice Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Gregory W. Stepanovich

Legal Counsel Date: 11/14/11



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK
JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
www.sfcjpa.org

East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, Palo Alto, San Mateo County Flood Control District, and the Santa Clara Valley Water District

RESOLUTION NO. 11-11-17B

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
AUTHORIZING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDING TO THE
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FOR
THE DESIGN AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION OF SAN FRANCISCO BAY LEVEES
BETWEEN SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK AND UNIVERSITY AVENUE

The Board of Directors hereby authorizes the filing of an application with the California Department of Water Resources to obtain funding for the San Francisco Bay Levee Improvement Project pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 75032.

The Authority Executive Director, Len Materman, is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary data, make investigations, sign certifications required as part of the application, and sign and file such application with the California Department of Water Resources.

Passed and adopted at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority on November 17, 2011.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Vice Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



Legal Counsel Date: 11/14/11



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK

JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY

www.sfcjpa.org

East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, Palo Alto, San Mateo County Flood Control District, and the Santa Clara Valley Water District

RESOLUTION NO. 11-11-17C

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
AUTHORIZING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDING TO THE
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FOR
THE EVALUATION OF SAN FRANCISCO BAY LEVEES
BETWEEN SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK AND CHARLESTON SLOUGH

The Board of Directors hereby authorizes the filing of an application with the California Department of Water Resources to obtain funding for the San Francisco Bay Levee Improvement Project pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 75032.

The Authority Executive Director, Len Materman, is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary data, make investigations, sign certifications required as part of the application, and sign and file such application with the California Department of Water Resources.

Passed and adopted at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority on November 17, 2011.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Vice Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



Legal Counsel Date: 11/14/11



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK
 JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
 www.sfcjpa.org

East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, Palo Alto, San Mateo County Flood Control District, and the Santa Clara Valley Water District

RESOLUTION NO. 11-11-17D

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
 SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
 AUTHORIZING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDING TO THE
 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FOR
 THE DESIGN AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION OF SAN FRANCISCO BAY LEVEES
 BETWEEN SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK AND CHARLESTON SLOUGH

The Board of Directors hereby authorizes the filing of an application with the California Department of Water Resources to obtain funding for the San Francisco Bay Levee Improvement Project pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 75032.

The Authority Executive Director, Len Materman, is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary data, make investigations, sign certifications required as part of the application, and sign and file such application with the California Department of Water Resources.

Passed and adopted at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority on November 17, 2011.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

 Vice Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

 Chairperson Date: 11/17/11

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Gregory W. Stepanovich

 Legal Counsel Date: 11/14/11